

<b>Interview Summary</b>	Application No. 10/529,772	Applicant(s) DENNY ET AL.	
	Examiner JOSEPH R. KOSACK	Art Unit 1626	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) JOSEPH R. KOSACK *JK*

(3) Leonard C. Mitchard *LM*

(2) Rei-Tsang Shiao *RS*

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview: 11 December 2008.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

Claim(s) discussed: 3,4,8-11,16 and 19.

Identification of prior art discussed: Friedlos et al.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: A proposed declaration by William Wilson and the prior art of Friedlos et al. was discussed with respect to the 35 U.S.C. 103(a) rejection. A potential 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, enablement rejection was also discussed with respect to claim 16.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

*Joseph R Kosack* EXAMINER 1600